(4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CG)

WP --771--2001

PETITIONER : 1. The State ofChhatisgarh
Through Secretary,
Ministry of Home affairs,
Govt.of Chattisgarh, Mantralaya
2. The Superintending of Police,
Durg.

Versus

RESPONDENTS : Dilip Kumar Kashyap
S/oMoti Singh Kashyap,
Ex-Constable, r/o Police line,
Newri, Distt. Damoh (MP)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(34)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 771 / 2001 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

DB : Hon'ble Shri V.K. Shrivastava & Hon'ble Shri Dhirendra Mishra, JJ

12-1-2007

Shri N.K. Agrawal, Dy. Advocate General for the State/ petitioners.

None for the respondent.

The case is listed for orders on default.

Upon perusal of the record, it appears that on 8-5-2001 petitioners were directed to pay process fee and copies of the memo of petition within three days, for issuance of notice. Again on 7-7-2003 petitioners were directed to pay fresh process fee within seven days, but they did not pay the same. Vide order dated 12-9-2006, on the request of the petitioners, three weeks time was grantec to take fresh steps to serve notice on the respondent. On 9-11-2006 finally four weeks time was granted to petitioners for compliance of this Court's order dated 12-9-2006. Thereafter the case was listed on 15-12-2006 and as per the directions of this Court on the said date, the case is listed today.

Number of opportunities have been given to the petitioners to take steps to serve notice on the respondent, but it is apparent that since 7-7-2003 petitioners did not take any steps to comply with the orders of this Court.

Shri Agrawal, Dy. Advocate General, submits this case has been allotted to Shri Vinay Harit, Dy. Advocate General, who is unwell today, therefore, he prays for adjourning the case for couple of days.

Today the case is not listed for any hearing on merit, but listed for consideration on the default already caused by the petitioners; therefore, it is not necessary that Deputy Advocate General Shri Vinay Harit, should remain present when the Court considers the breach committed by the petitioners.

Petitioners did not heed to the Court's order. As a result of their non-compliance of the Court orders petition is pending, therefore, the same is liable to be dismissed for non-compliance of the orders passed by this Court. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed in default.

Sd/-
V.K. Shrivatava
Judge

Sd/-
Dhirendra Mishra
Judge

(पीछे देखिये)

अधिवक्ता कल्याण निधि ... TEN RUPEES